



# Track Trigger at CMS for the High Luminosity LHC

Louise Skinnari (Northeastern University)

LBL RPM April 8, 2021



## Motivation

start of LHC operation



Peak instantaneous luminosity: 5-7.5 x nominal



## **Standard Model**



• Standard Model remarkably successful in explaining experimental data (\*)



#### Standard Model ... and BEYOND

- UNIT OF CONTRACT O
- Standard Model remarkably successful in explaining experimental data
- Cannot explain:

Matter/anti-matter asymmetry? Dark matter?

. . .

Neutrino masses?



nmetry? Incorporating gravity? Why three generations? Why is the Higgs boson so light?







#### **Proton-proton collisions**



#### Parton distribution functions

describe momentum distribution of proton's constituents, measured from experiments





#### **PILEUP:**

multiple overlapping pp interactions in the same bunch crossing

#### **Proton-proton collisions**



#### Parton distribution functions

describe momentum distribution of proton's constituents, measured from experiments



#### Luminosity

#### CMS Peak Luminosity Per Day, pp

Data included from 2010-03-30 11:22 to 2018-10-26 08:23 UTC



Date (UTC)



# The LHC challenge

- Processes w. H/W/Z bosons, top quarks, etc. are comparably rare!
  - ~10 top quarks, <1 Higgs / sec</li>
- Huge amount of info produced
  - A collision event ≈ 1MB
     ... 40 million times per second
     (=> 40 TB/s !!!)
- <u>Trigger system</u> reduces 40MHz collision rate to data rate that can be read out & written to disk (~1kHz)





## The LHC challenge





#### Where we are ...



- SM Higgs triumph
- Precision tests of EW / top quark sectors
- New physics searches
  - <u>Directly</u> produce new massive particles
  - Indirectly study rare process & search for deviations









|                                       | Statistical-only |      | Statistical + Systematic |      |      |                             |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------|--------------------------|------|------|-----------------------------|
|                                       | ATLAS            | CMS  | ATLAS                    | CMS  |      |                             |
| $HH 	o b \overline{b} b \overline{b}$ | 1.4              | 1.2  | 0.61                     | 0.95 | -    |                             |
| HH  ightarrow b ar b 	au 	au          | 2.5              | 1.6  | 2.1                      | 1.4  |      |                             |
| $HH  ightarrow b ar{b} \gamma \gamma$ | 2.1              | 1.8  | 2.0                      | 1.8  | Inia | nificance wit               |
| $HH \to b\bar{b}VV(ll\nu\nu)$         | -                | 0.59 | -                        | 0.56 |      |                             |
| $HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}ZZ(4l)$       | -                | 0.37 | -                        | 0.37 | 301  | JU 10 <sup>-</sup> ' / exp. |
| combined                              | 3.5              | 2.8  | 3.0                      | 2.6  |      |                             |
|                                       | Combined<br>4.5  |      | Combined                 |      | -    |                             |
|                                       |                  |      | 4.0                      |      |      |                             |

#### Motivation



#### • Higgs boson

- Precision measurements of properties & couplings
- Rare decays
- Measure Higgs self-coupling via di-Higgs production
  - Probe shape of Higgs potential & nature of EWSB
- Extend discovery reach in searches for beyond-SM scenarios

#### **Motivation**



#### Higgs boson

**CERN-LPCC** 

- Precision measurements of properties & couplings
- Rare decays
- Measure Higgs self-coupling via di-Higgs production
  - Probe shape of Higgs potential & nature of EWSB
- **Extend discovery reach** in searches for beyond-SM scenarios
- Search for rare SM processes, possibly enhanced by BSM physics
  - e.g. probe flavor-changing neutral currents, highly suppressed in SM

| ~order of magnitude<br>improvement<br><u>CERN-LPCC-2018-03</u> | $\mathcal{B} \text{ limit at 95\%C.L.}$ $t \to gu$ $t \to gc$ $t \to Zq$ $t \to \gamma u$ $t \to \gamma c$ | HL-LHC<br>$3 ab^{-1}, 14 \text{ TeV}$<br>$3.8 \times 10^{-6}$<br>$32.1 \times 10^{-6}$<br>$2.4 - 5.8 \times 10^{-5}$<br>$8.6 \times 10^{-6}$<br>$7.4 \times 10^{-5}$<br>$4 a^{-4}$ | $\frac{\text{Run-II (36/fb)}}{2 \times 10^{-5}}$ $4 \times 10^{-4}$ $1.7-2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ $1.3 10^{-4}$ $2.0 10^{-3}$ |
|--|--|--|--|
| <u> ERN-LPCC-2018-03</u>                                       | $\begin{array}{c} t  ightarrow \gamma c \\ t  ightarrow Hq \end{array}$                                    | $7.4 	imes 10^{-3}$<br>$10^{-4}$   | 2.0 10 <sup>-3</sup><br>1.1 10 <sup>-3</sup>   |





Lent offline analysis with high efficiency. For the scenario with  $200 \text{ PL}_{20}$  comparison of  $\varphi_s^{\text{nestatistical strategy}}$  at the present of the scenario with  $200 \text{ PL}_{20}$  comparison of  $\varphi_s^{\text{nestatistical strategy}}$ . -1 of a marginal 2007 the array of all 1 this can note in the set 15 left -15 left -15

To study the physics processes of interest, we have to efficiently identify the collisions where they occur (trigger!) ...



... which is an even greater challenge at the HL-LHC!

# The price for high luminosity



Simulated event display with average pileup of 140



**PILEUP: number of overlapping interactions (expected average ~200)** 

Particularly challenging for trigger system!

# Trigger system: CURRENT



Which collision events to read out & store for offline analysis?



## Trigger system: **HL-LHC**



Which collision events to read out & store for offline analysis?



# Why tracking @ L1?

18 UTHEAST UTH

- At HL-LHC, event rates would exceed what can be read out at L1
- *Physics goals* rely on excellent detector performance & trigger capabilities
- Typical handle to control event rates at trigger level -- momentum thresholds





# Using tracking @ L1

#### Example: Charged leptons

*Improve p*<sub>T</sub> *measurement* & *identification* => *significant rate reductions* 





# Using tracking @ L1

#### <u>Example:</u> Jets

Using tracks allows associating jets to common vertex to reject pileup, run lightweight PF @ L1







#### ... how?

#### **CMS tracker**





Finely segmented silicon sensors enable charged particles to be traced and, thanks to the magnetic field, for their momenta to be measured. They also reveal the positions at which long-lived unstable particles decay.



# **CMS tracker for HL-LHC**



- New all silicon outer tracker + inner pixel detector
  - Increased granularity for HL-LHC occupancies
  - Tracking in hardware trigger, identify particles with  $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}$





# **CMS tracker for HL-LHC**



- New all silicon outer tracker + inner pixel detector
  - Increased granularity for HL-LHC occupancies
  - Tracking in hardware trigger, identify particles with  $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}$





## p<sub>T</sub> module concept



 Modules provide p<sub>T</sub> discrimination in front-end electronics through hit correlations between two closely spaced sensors



- Stubs: Correlated pairs of clusters, consistent with ≥2 GeV track
  - Data reduction at trigger readout (by factor 10-20)
  - Stubs form input to track finding



# Tracking @ L1

- Reconstruct trajectories of charged particles with  $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}$ 
  - At HL-LHC, expect ~7000 charged particles / BX, ~200 trajectories with p<sub>T</sub> > 2 GeV

BX = bunch crossing

- Challenges
  - <u>Combinatorics</u> from ~15K input stubs / BX
  - Data volumes of up to ~30 Tbits/s
  - L1 trigger decision within 12.5  $\mu$ s, <u>~4  $\mu$ s available for track finding</u>
    - A track trigger operating at 40 MHz with <10  $\mu$ s latency has never been built

• Utilize extensive parallel processing to tackle above challenges





# Track trigger strategy



- Parallelization
  - Divide tracker in segments in φ
  - Time-multiplexed systems -- process several BX simultaneously
- Fully FPGA-based system
  - Off-the-shelf hardware
  - Programmable => flexibility

**FPGA** = Field Programmable Gate Array

## System architecture



• Outer tracker divided in 9  $\phi$  sectors, time multiplexing factor of 18



# L1 trigger architecture





## Algorithm overview



- Different algorithms have been explored at CMS for L1 track finding
  - Similar performance & demonstrated feasibility, detailed in <u>Phase-2 Tracker TDR</u>
- <u>Hybrid</u> algorithm combines ideas from legacy algorithms
  - Road-search algorithm based on "tracklet" seeds
  - Kalman Filter used to identify best stub candidates & provide track parameters



# Parallelization

- Extensive parallelization in space & time (time multiplex of 18)
- Detector divided into 9 hourglass-shaped  $\phi$  sectors
  - Hourglass shape prevents tracks above given p⊤ threshold from entering >1 sector => <u>no cross-</u> <u>sector communication required!</u>
  - Critical radius tuned to minimize overlap of stubs



- Within-sector parallel data processing
  - Divide φ sector into "virtual modules"
  - Throughout algorithm, only consider combinations compatible with >2 GeV => <u>key to minimize combinatorics & :</u>





# Seeding & propagation

- Seed by forming tracklets
  - Pairs of stubs in adjacent layers/disks
  - Initial tracklet parameters from stubs + beam spot constraint
    - Only combinations w.  $p_T > 2$  GeV kept
- Project to other layers/disks & match with compatible stubs within pre-defined windows
  - Inside-out & outside-in (more than 1 match allowed)
  - Calculate residuals used in fit





Central η: L1+L2, L3+L4, L5+L6

Barrel-disk overlap: L1+D1, L2+D1, L1+L2

Disks: D1+D2, D3+D4

## **Duplicates & merging**



- By construction, pattern recognition produces duplicate track candidates for a given charged particle
  - Redundancy in seeding (L1+L2 vs L3+L4, etc) ensures high efficiency, but leads to a given particle found >1 time
  - Additional duplicates may originate from tracks with combinatorial stubs
- Duplicates are removed by merging track candidates prior to fitting
- Currently, algorithm merges tracks sharing  $\geq$  3 stubs



# **Track fitting**



- Final track fitting uses Kalman Filter algorithm
- Iterative track fitting
  - Initial estimate of track parameters & their uncertainties from tracklet seed
  - Stub used to update helix parameters (weighted average)
  - χ<sup>2</sup> calculated, used to reject false candidates & incorrect stubs on genuine candidates
  - Repeat until all stubs are added



## Performance

- Examples of expected L1 tracking performance based on simulation
  - High efficiency across p<sub>T</sub>/η
  - Precise z<sub>0</sub> resolution for vertex association





# **Displaced tracking**

- Actively exploring an *extended tracking* setup to include capability of reconstructing long-lived particle trajectories
- How? Modified seeding
  - **<u>Prompt</u>** tracklets (2 stubs + origin)
  - **Displaced** triplets (3 stubs)
  - Displaced seeds propagated to other layers/disks similar as prompt to find matching stubs

0.0

0.2

0.4

0.6

How? 5-parameter Kalman Filter fit



1.4

\_ 1.6

\_ 1.8

\_ 2.0

- 2.2

- 2.4

- 2.6

2.8

4.0

1.2



**Triplet seeds:** L4L5L6, L2L3L4, L2L3D1, L2D1D2



#### 38

# **Displaced performance**

- Extended tracking recovers efficiency for large d<sub>0</sub> particles
  - Increase in track rate ~40% (conservative estimate)
- As example studied in context of triggering on exotic Higgs boson decays
  - $H => \varphi \varphi => 4$  jets, where  $\varphi$  is long-lived







# Implementation

- Track finding implemented as dedicated processing modules with memory modules storing data between steps
- Seeding & propagation steps implemented using Xilinx Vivado HLS
- Kalman filter largely implemented in VHDL
- Top-level modules connected in VHDL





## Hardware demonstration

- Hardware for track-finding based on ATCA platform (CMS standard for HL-LHC upgrade)
- Demonstration of algorithm in progress



Test stand @ CERN with Apollo & Serenity blades

#### **Apollo:** track finding processing boards

- Service Module provides infrastructure components
- *Command Module* contains two large FPGAs, optical fiber interfaces & memories



#### Serenity: DTC processing

- Carrier card provides services
- Daughter cards host FPGAs for data processing



arXiv:1911.06452

# Summary

- Incorporating tracking in L1 trigger critical to achieve required event rate reductions for CMS at HL-LHC
  - Key to achieve physics goals

- $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \pm 4 & mm \end{bmatrix}$  (in the second secon
- Track triggering on this scale never implemented before
  - ▶ Relies on unique detector design with "p⊤ modules"
  - System design based on off-shelf electronics (FPGA)
  - Legacy demonstrators showed feasibility of systems w. required performance
- Extension to <u>displaced tracking</u> brings feasibility of probing physics scenarios involving long-lived particles
- Working toward specifications of final system & next-level demonstrators !



#### BACKUP

#### **Data flow**

Transmission of trigger primit

Broadcast of L1 accept



#### Stub finding efficiency







#### **Barrel EM calorimeter**

- Replace FE/BE electronics
- Lower operating temperature



#### Muon systems

- Replace DT & CSC FE/BE electronics
- Complete RPC coverage (1.5<η<2.4)</li>
- Muon tagging (2.4<η<3)</li>

#### Tracker

- Completely new inner+outer tracker (OT)
- 40 MHz readout (p<sub>T</sub>>2 GeV) in OT
- Extend coverage to η~4

#### <u>Trigger</u>

- Track information @ L1
- L1: 12.5 µs latency,
   750 kHz output rate
- HLT: 7.5 kHz output rate

#### Other R&D

• Fast timing for in-time pileup suppression

#### Endcap calorimeter

- Replace endcap calorimeters => HGCal
- Radiation tolerant, high granularity
- 3D capability

# 2016 demonstrator systems

- Algorithms implemented in emulation software + firmware
- Hardware demonstrations used to validate feasibility & performance
  - µTCA boards with Virtex-7 FPGA
    - <u>Tracklet:</u> 3 boards for <u>b</u> sectors + 1 board emulates input & receives output tracks, AMC13 card for clock & synchronizetion, 240 MHz clock
    - HT+KF: 5 boards for processing + 3 boards for input / output -2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2
- Excellent performance demonstrated in hardware + measured 3-4 μs latency







